

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,602	10/658,602 09/08/2003		Enrique Zudaire Ubani	41743.8001.US00	6049	
34055	7590	06/16/2006		EXAMINER		
PERKINS (ZEMAN, MARY K			
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1631		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/658,602	ZUDAIRE UBANI	ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mary K. Zeman	1631					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the maximum statutory per return the provided by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of a BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		his action is non-final.						
/	Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-84 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
· <u> </u>	•		to by the Examiner.					
,_	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	- · · ·	•	FR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • •					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗆	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	an priority under 35 U.S.C	2. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
· ·	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage				
	application from the International Bure	•		3 -				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	not received.					
		·						
Attachment	i(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	_	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		<i>G-</i> 1 <i>9£)</i>				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to methods of detecting and quantifying a single PCR reaction, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 9-28, drawn to methods of detecting and quantifying two separate PCR reactions, wherein pre or post Tm emissions are obtained, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- III. Claims 29-61, drawn to methods of detecting multiplex PCR reactions wherein pre or post Tm emissions are obtained at varying temperatures, classified in class 702, subclass 20.
- IV. Claims 62-84, drawn to computer program products, software and apparatus, classified in class 707, subclass 102.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, Each method amplifies a differing number of templates, with differing amounts of primers and dyes. Each method measures differing emissions at differing points to differing ends. Each uses differing calculations to arrive at emission totals.

Inventions IV and I-III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the determinations and mathematics of the method groups I, II and III can each be performed by hand and do not require the software of Invention IV.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,602

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully Application/Control Number: 10/658,602

Art Unit: 1631

examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272 0723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272 0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also

Art Unit: 1631

enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER